action against the officers concerned with a view to bring them to a sense of their responsibility?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

A.—6. (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) The Inspector has been reduced to the grade of Sub-Inspector for two years. In regard to the District Superintendent of Police and the Amildar, the matter is under consideration.

Messrs. K. V. Veeranna, Gurubasappa and **K. C. Subbanna** (Chamarajnagar Taluk); **M. C. Linge Gowda** and **S. C. Mallaiya** (Maddur Taluk); **S. H. Anjaneya** and **Y. V. Gundu Rao** (Yedatore Taluk); **K. M. Krishnappa Gowda** (Nagamangala Taluk); **H. K. Madaiya** and **Puttaswami Gowda** (Malvalli Taluk); **A. Linge Gowda, S. K. Sidde Gowda** and **S. Thimme Gowda** *alias* **Thammayya Gowda** (Krishnarajpet Taluk); **H. Honnaiya** and **M. L. Linganna** (Mandya Taluk); **B. Chamaiya** and **D. Mari Gowda** (Seringapatam Taluk); **Kongalappa** and **P. Basappa** (Gundlupet Taluk); **Patel Sidde Gowda** and **H. Hombe Gowda** (T.-Narsipur Taluk); **D. Chikke Urs** (Periyapatna Sub-Taluk); **Sivananje Gowda** and **Savandayya** (Mysore Taluk); **B. S. Puttaswami** and **T. S. Madappa** (Nanjangud Taluk); **C. Dasappa** and **Basappa** (Heggaddevankote Taluk); **P. Sannamallappa** (Yelandur Jahgir); **T. Mariyappa** (Mysore City Municipality); **K. Kempe Gowda** (Mandya Municipality); **Mahomed Hussain** *alias* **Sabjan Saheb** (Hunsur Municipality); and **K. Nanjaiya** (Malvalli Municipality).—

Q.—7. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) When was the Miller Committee formed for the uplift of the backward communities?

(*b*) Have rules of recruitment been framed on the recommendation of this committee?

(*c*) (i) When were these rules revised?

(ii) When did the revised rules come into force?

(*d*) What were the number and percentage of backward community employees in all unit offices in the Mysore State, in the years 1918, 1923, 1928 and 1933?

(*e*) What was the number of non-Brahmins that held the following appointments in the years 1918, 1923, 1928 and 1933?—

District and Taluk Sheristedars.
Judicial Sheristedars.
Superintendents in the Secretariat.

(*f*) (i) Was there provision in the rules to appoint more non-Brahmins for these posts; (ii) if so, why was it not brought into force till now?

(*g*) (i) Has it come to the notice of Government that the number of non-Brahmin employees in posts on a pay of over Rs. 250 is less in 1933 than what it was in the year 1918?

(ii) What is the reason for the decrease?

(*h*) Are all the communities in the State being provided with appointments in proportion to the population of each community?

(*i*) Have representations been made in the Representative Assembly and Legislative Council for the introduction of the system of selection by rotation and the constitution of a Staff Selection Board with a view to point out the unsatisfactory progress made so far?

(*j*) (i) Was any scheme prepared in this behalf?

(ii) What are the recommendations of this scheme?

General Secretary to Government.—

A.—7. (*a*) 23rd August 1918.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) (i) and (ii) 10th June 1927.

(*d*)

Year.	Number.	Percentage.
1918	4,104	30·23
1923	Information is not readily available.	
1928	8,830	42·70
1933	10,518	47·59

(*e*)

Appointments	1918	1923	1928	1933
1. District Sheristedars	1	....	....	1
2. Taluk do	7	1	5	11
3. Judicial do ...	1	2	3	3
4. Superintendents in the Secretariat	1	....	1	1

(*f*) (i) There is no rule as regards the number of non-Brahmins that should be appointed to these posts.

(ii) The question does not arise.

(*g*) (i) The number of non-Brahmin employees in posts on a pay of Rs. 250 and above was 164 in 1933 as against 130 in 1918.

(ii) The question does not arise.

(*h*) Appointments are not made on the population basis.

(*i*) Yes.

(*j*) (i) Yes.

(ii) Attention is invited to pages 342 to 346 of the Proceedings of the Mysore Legislative Council of December Session, 1933?

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. S. C. Mallaiya.—

With reference to answer (*e*), may I know why only one non Brahmin held the appointment of District Sheristedar in 1933?

General Secretary to Government.—

It was a promotion vacancy and there was only one vacancy of that nature.

Mr. S. C. Mallaiya.—

Is it the opinion of Government that no other non-Brahmin in the department was fit for appointment as District Sheristedar?

General Secretary to Government.—

There was no other non-Brahmin in that grade.

Mr. S. C. Mallaiya.—

Were no new appointments made to that grade?

General Secretary to Government.—

No.

Mr. S. C. Mallaiya.—

Were there no non-Brahmins at all in the service who had the required qualifications and were fit for being promoted as District Sheristedars?

General Secretary to Government.—

No.

Mr. M. C. Linge Gowda.—

Did not the Government promise in this House in the past that they would promote qualified non-Brahmins to the posts of District Sheristedars whenever available and that if they were not available they would specially train more non-Brahmins to come up to the standard required so that they might be promoted as District Sheristedars ?

General Secretary to Government.—

Those that are in the grade will get the posts. But there can be no direct recruitment to those posts.

Mr. M. C. Linge Gowda.—

What steps have been taken by the Government in regard to the oft-urged representations, both in the Assembly and in the Council, for the constitution of a Staff Selection Board ?

First Member of Council.—

That is not a supplementary question.

Mr. M. C. Linge Gowda.—

At least, will the Government consider the suggestion of the Central Recruitment Board that the Staff Selection Board should be brought into being very early and that more power should be given to the Central Recruitment Board ?

General Secretary to Government.—

The matter is under consideration.

One Member.—

How long will Government take to consider ?

First Member of Council.—

Orders will be passed as early as possible.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjeeviah.—

At every session of the Assembly it is being urged on the attention of the Government that the proportion of representation of backward communities should be increased. Could not the percentage have been increased by giving most of the available vacancies to non-Brahmin applicants ?

General Secretary to Government.—

That is not possible under the existing rules.

Mr. Bagamane Deva Gowda.—

Has it come to the notice of Government that appointing authorities are violating the rules of recruitment?

General Secretary to Government.—

Sometimes, yes.

Mr. Bagamane Deva Gowda.—

In Chikmagalur Taluk, the post of Sheristedar was given to a Brahmin in violation of the rules of recruitment. Although a non Brahmin candidate was available, special merit was the qualification urged in favour of the appointment. Has it come to the notice of Government?

General Secretary to Government.—

No.

Mr. Bagamane Deva Gowda.—

Will the Government agree to appoint a District mittee for each district who will see that such cases of clear injustice do not occur?

First Member of Council.—

Government have no such intention.

Mr. H. K. Madaiya.—

Have any separate qualifications been prescribed for the appointments of District Sheristedars and Sheristedars in the Judicial Department?

General Secretary to Government.—

No.

Mr. H. K. Madaiya.—

If so, were no non-Brahmins found fit for appointment as District Sheristedars?

General Secretary to Government.—

Not unfit; the non-Brahmins already in service have not reached that grade.

Mr. H. K. Madaiya.—

Why not promote non-Brahmin officials with sufficient experience as well as the required qualifications even though they may not be in the grade?

General Secretary to Government.—

Being a promotion vacancy, such a course is not possible.

Messrs. K. V. Veeranna, Gurubasappa and **K. C. Subbanna** (Chamarajnagar Taluk); **M. C. Linge Gowda** and **S. C. Mallaiya** (Maddur Taluk); **S. H. Anjaneya** and **Y. V. Gundu Rao** (Yedatore Taluk); **K. M. Krishnappa Gowda** (Nagamangala Taluk); **H. K. Madaiya** and **Puttaswami Gowda** (Malvalli Taluk); **A. Linge Gowda, S. K. Sidde Gowda** and **S. Thimme Gowda** *alias* **Thammayya Gowda** (Krishnarajpet Taluk); **H. Honnaiya** and **M. L. Linganna** (Mandya Taluk), **B. Chamaiya** and **D. Mari Gowda** (Seringapatam Taluk); **Kongalappa** and **P. Basappa** (Gundlupet Taluk); **Patel Sidde Gowda** and **H. Hombe Gowda** (T.-Narsipur Taluk); **D. Chikke Urs** (Periyapatna Sub-Taluk); **Sivananje Gowda** and **Savandayya** (Mysore Taluk); **B. S. Puttaswami** and **T. S. Madappa** (Nanjangud Taluk); **C. Dasappa** and **Basappa** (Heggaddevankote Taluk); **P. Sannamallappa** (Yelandur Jahgir); **T Mariyappa** (Mysore City Municipality); **K. Kempe Gowda** (Mandya Municipality); **Mahomed Hussain** *alias* **Sabjan Saheb** (Hunsur Municipality); and **K. Nanjaiya** (Malvalli Municipality).—

Q.—10. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Is it a fact that the District Board have sanctioned funds for the construction of a causeway across Tuppadamadu-halla in Nagamangala Taluk and entrusted the work to the Engineering Department?

(*b*) Who is the contractor?

(*c*) When was the final bill prepared on the completion of this work?

(*d*) Is it a fact that this causeway was washed off? If so, when?

(*e*) Was this matter brought to the notice of the Government and the Chief Engineer by the local people?

(*f*) Is it a fact that the work washed off, caused by the flood, has been slightly repaired?

(*g*) If so, which department prepared the estimate and paid for the work, or did the contractor do it?